

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N	
10/788,828	02/27/2004	Richard H. Alsenz	02009/03C1	7363	
7590 10/19/2004			EXAMINER		
ALTECH CONTROLS CORPORATION			NGUYEN, KIEN T		
RICHARD H. A	·		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	ΓY, TX 77489		3714		
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				¥					
	Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)	P					
	10/788,	,828	ALSENZ, RICHARD H.						
Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit						
	Kien T. I		3714						
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appears on t	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	•					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provisafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the period for reply specified above is less than thit. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximute Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(UNICATION. cions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication. ty (30) days, a reply within the si m statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the a ths after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a r tatutory minimum of thin will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.					
Status		•							
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on .								
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.							
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) ☑ Claim(s) 7-21 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) 5) ☑ Claim(s) 21 is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7-17 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) 18 and 20 is/are objected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to reserved.	s/are withdrawn from coted. ed to.								
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are: a)□ accepted or t	b) objected to	by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any o	bjection to the drawing(s)) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) included in the cath or declaration is objected.	- '	-	• • •	• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some color None of 1. Certified copies of the priocent 2. Certified copies of the priocent 3. Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office and the complex of the certified copies of the certified c	f: rity documents have be rity documents have be es of the priority docun ational Bureau (PCT Re	een received. een received in A ments have been ule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stage						
Attachment(s)									
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revieus Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144: Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 						

Application/Control Number: 10/788,828

Art Unit: 3714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-10, 17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knijpstra U.S. Patent 5,558,581.

Knijpstra disclosed in Fig. 9 a fairground having a room (60), the mass is rotated relative to a center axis (3) to produce a centrifugal force, the room is also rotated relative to a second axis (7) perpendicular to the centrifugal force, and relative to a third axis (14) perpendicular to the axis perpendicular to centrifugal force, Fig. 5 shows an opposite room or mass (11) which is considered a counter balance mass; rotating means (5) adapted to rotate the base; an angular orientating means (8) adapted to orient the room. It is noted that Knijpstra did not specific teach a step of changing the magnitude of the centrifugal force on the mass. However, the room is rotated by an electric motor or a hydro-motor (column 2, line 26) and such motor could easily control it speeds. Accordingly, it would have been a matter of design choice to adjust the speed of the motor that inherently changes the magnitude of the centrifugal force.

Claims 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knijpstra in view of Henique et al. U.S. Patent 5,582,518.

It is noted that Knijpstra failed to teach the use of a video screen for displaying a simulated image as set forth in these claims. However, Henique et al disclosed a

Art Unit: 3714

simulator having a video screen (63) (see Fig. 6) for displaying a simulated image.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Knijpstra with the video screen as taught by Henique et al for the purpose of enhancing the virtual reality experience.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 21 is allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references show various amusement apparatus with multi-directional rotation and counter balance mass.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kien T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2493. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,828

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kien T. Nguyen Primary Examiner Page 4

Ktn